

AMENDMENT TO H.R. 8462
OFFERED BY MR. OBERNOLTE OF CALIFORNIA

Insert after section 24 the following:

1 **SEC. 25. INTERIM POST QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY MEAS-**
2 **URES.**

3 (a) AUTHORIZATION FOR FEDERAL ENTITIES.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Chief Information Offi-
5 cer (or functional equivalent) for each Federal entity
6 may directly procure interim post quantum cryp-
7 tographic software and initiate upgrades to deploy
8 such software on any Federal device of such entity
9 that stores, uses, transmits, and receives digital in-
10 formation if the following requirements are met:

11 (A) The software is the best available cryp-
12 tographic software in terms of functionality and
13 bit strength needed for Federal entity use cases
14 as determined by the Chief Information Officer
15 (or functional equivalent).

16 (B) The software is compatible with the
17 existing or planned Federal cybersecurity
18 framework, policy, and governance of the Fed-
19 eral entity and is not limited to any product,
20 service, or solution identified in the document

1 entitled “Post Quantum Cryptography Buyer’s
2 Guide (Version 1.0)” and published by the Gen-
3 eral Services Administration in June 2025, or
4 any successor guide.

5 (C) Any contractor that provides the soft-
6 ware certifies before entering into a contract
7 that any such software provided is interim post
8 quantum cryptographic software.

9 (D) The software and the contract for the
10 software do not include a vendor lock for the
11 Federal entity but may be procured under a
12 multi-year term contract if the contract con-
13 tains a clause for the Federal entity to opt out
14 of the contract every 2 years.

15 (2) DEADLINE TO COMPLETE UPGRADE.—The
16 Chief Information Officer (or functional equivalent)
17 for each Federal entity may procure the interim post
18 quantum cryptographic software under paragraph
19 (1) as soon as practicable, and the installation of
20 such software shall be completed within 36 months
21 after the date of the enactment of this section or as
22 soon as practicable.

23 (b) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

24 (1) CRYPTOGRAPHIC SOFTWARE.—The term
25 “cryptographic software” means cryptographic in-

1 instructions or programs, including a crypto system,
2 for data-packet-level encryption, through which
3 cleartext data is never exposed in memory, logs,
4 caches, or network transport outside of authorized
5 cryptographic boundaries and decryption of such
6 data only occurs in authorized execution contexts,
7 that do not require replacement, modification, or
8 augmentation of chips, cryptographic cards, radios,
9 hardware security modules, or other physical compo-
10 nents.

11 (2) FEDERAL ENTITY.—The term “Federal en-
12 tity” has the meaning given the term “executive
13 agency” in section 133 of title 41, United States
14 Code, and any other entity of the Federal Govern-
15 ment funded in whole or part by the Federal Gov-
16 ernment.

17 (3) INTERIM POST QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHIC
18 SOFTWARE.—The term “interim post quantum cryp-
19 tographic software” means cryptographic software
20 that meets the following requirements:

21 (A) Is developed in the United States.

22 (B) Is able to protect data in storage,
23 transit, and use.

24 (C) Includes a lattice-based, symmetric,
25 asymmetric, or hybrid cipher or crypto system

1 capable of providing security strength that is
2 equivalent to or exceeds AES–256, or any suc-
3 cessor standard.

4 (D) Is able to meet the following:

5 (i) NIST Federal Information Proc-
6 essing Standard 140–3 (entitled “Security
7 Requirements for Cryptographic Modules”) or a successor standard.

8 (ii) NIST Federal Information Proc-
9 essing Standard 202 (entitled “SHA–3
10 Standard: Permutation-Based Hash and
11 Extendable-Output Functions”), 203 (enti-
12 tled “Module-Lattice-Based Key-Encap-
13 sulation Mechanism Standard”), or 204
14 (entitled “Module-Lattice-Based Digital
15 Signature Standard”), or successor stand-
16 ards.

17 (E) Is able to meet post quantum security
18 margins, as defined by the Director of NIST.

19 (F) Is able to steganographically embed
20 access controls, authorization policies, and a
21 multi-factor key for user identity and device au-
22 thorization at the data or key level through the
23 encryption process.

24 (G) Is able to guarantee data provenance.

1 (H) Is able to be used with little or no per-
2 formance degradation.

3 (I) Is capable of widespread system deploy-
4 ment for Federal entities, with little or no sys-
5 tem disruption.

6 (J) Is able to rotate keys and transition
7 cryptographic algorithms securely over the air,
8 including in degraded, denied, or electronic en-
9 vironments, without system disruption, hard-
10 ware replacement, or physical access to
11 endpoints.

12 (K) Provides to the Federal entity at issue
13 full key custody and control and consistent key
14 sovereignty, including no required key escrow,
15 replication, derivation, or retention of cryp-
16 tographic keys by a third-party contractor or
17 cloud service provider.

18 (4) NIST.—The term “NIST” means the Na-
19 tional Institute of Standards and Technology.

20 (5) VENDOR LOCK.—The term “vendor lock”
21 means a contractual or technical dependency on the
22 cryptographic software or technology of a supplier,
23 such that data encrypted using such software or
24 technology, as the case may be, cannot, without cost
25 or the extension of a license, be decrypted, accessed,

1 or migrated by the Federal entity at issue after a
2 contract for such software expires.

