

.....
(Original Signature of Member)

118TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. R. _____

To direct the National Institute of Standards and Technology to catalog and evaluate emerging practices and norms for communicating certain characteristics of artificial intelligence systems, including relating to transparency, robustness, resilience, security, safety, and usability, and for other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Mr. BAIRD introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee
on _____

A BILL

To direct the National Institute of Standards and Technology to catalog and evaluate emerging practices and norms for communicating certain characteristics of artificial intelligence systems, including relating to transparency, robustness, resilience, security, safety, and usability, and for other purposes.

1 *Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-*
2 *tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,*

1 **SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.**

2 This Act may be cited as the “AI Development Prac-
3 tices Act of 2024”.

4 **SEC. 2. NIST RESEARCH ON DEVELOPMENT BEST PRACTICES.**
5 **TICES.**

6 Section 22A of the National Institute of Standards
7 and Technology Act (15 U.S.C. 278h–1) is amended—

8 (1) by redesignating subsection (h) as sub-
9 section (i); and

10 (2) by inserting after subsection (g) the fol-
11 lowing new subsection:

12 “(h) ASSESSMENT OF THE PRACTICES OF ARTIFI-
13 CIAL INTELLIGENCE DEVELOPMENT.—

14 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The Director of the Na-
15 tional Institute of Standards and Technology (in this
16 subsection referred to as the ‘Director’) shall, sub-
17 ject to the availability of appropriations, develop,
18 and periodically update, in collaboration with other
19 public and private sector organizations, voluntary
20 guidance for practices and guidelines relating to the
21 development, release, and assessment of artificial in-
22 telligence systems. Such guidelines shall satisfy the
23 following:

24 “(A) Define methods and guidelines for de-
25 veloping reasonable risk tolerances for various

1 use cases of artificial intelligence systems based
2 on the following:

3 “(i) The risks associated with the in-
4 tended and unintended applications, use
5 cases, and outcomes of the artificial intel-
6 ligence system at issue, based on the
7 guidelines specified in the voluntary risk
8 management framework for trustworthy
9 artificial intelligence systems, or successor
10 framework, authorized under subsection
11 (c), which may include different categories
12 of risk, such as the following:

13 “(I) Security risks, including
14 threats to national security.

15 “(II) Economic risks, including
16 threats to economic opportunities.

17 “(III) Social risks, including in-
18 fringement upon constitutional rights,
19 privileges, or liberties.

20 “(ii) Such other factors as the Direc-
21 tor determines appropriate and consistent
22 with this subsection.

23 “(B) Categorize and list practices and
24 norms for communicating relevant characteris-
25 tics, including robustness, resilience, security,

1 safety, fairness, privacy, validation, reliability,
2 accountability, and usability, of artificial intel-
3 ligence systems, and including any characteris-
4 tics identified by the voluntary risk manage-
5 ment framework for trustworthy artificial intel-
6 ligence systems, or successor framework, au-
7 thorized under subsection (c). Such practices
8 and norms may relate to the following:

9 “(i) Documentation of training and
10 evaluation datasets, such as information
11 and statistics about a dataset’s size,
12 curation, annotation, and sources, and the
13 protocols for a dataset’s selection, creators,
14 provenance, processing, augmentation, fil-
15 ters, inclusion of personally identifiable in-
16 formation, and intellectual property usage.

17 “(ii) Documentation of model infor-
18 mation, such as a model’s development
19 stages, training objectives, training strate-
20 gies, inference objectives, capabilities, re-
21 producibility of capabilities, input and out-
22 put modalities, components, size, and ar-
23 chitecture.

24 “(iii) Evaluation of benchmarks for
25 multi-metric assessments, such as an as-

1 assessment of an appropriate combination of
2 robustness, resilience, security, safety, fair-
3 ness, privacy, accuracy, validity, reliability,
4 accountability, usability, transparency, effi-
5 ciency, and calibration, and any character-
6 istics identified by the voluntary risk man-
7 agement framework for trustworthy artifi-
8 cial intelligence systems, or successor
9 framework, authorized under subsection
10 (c).

11 “(iv) Metrics and methodologies for
12 evaluations of artificial intelligence sys-
13 tems, such as establishing evaluation
14 datasets.

15 “(v) Public reporting of artificial in-
16 telligence systems’ capabilities, limitations,
17 and possible areas of appropriate and inap-
18 propriate use.

19 “(vi) Disclosure of security practices,
20 such as artificial intelligence red teaming
21 and third-party assessments, that were
22 used in the development of an artificial in-
23 telligence system.

24 “(vii) How to release to the public
25 components of an artificial intelligence sys-

1 tem or information about an artificial in-
2 telligence system, including aspects of the
3 model, associated training data, and li-
4 cense agreements.

5 “(viii) Approaches and channels for
6 collaboration and knowledge-sharing of
7 best practices across industry, govern-
8 ments, civil society, and academia.

9 “(ix) Such other categories as the Di-
10 rector determines appropriate and con-
11 sistent with this subsection.

12 “(C) For each practice and norm cat-
13 egorized and listed in accordance with subpara-
14 graph (B), provide recommendations and prac-
15 tices for utilizing such practice or norm.

16 “(2) IMPLEMENTATION.—In conducting the Di-
17 rector’s duties under paragraph (1), the Director
18 shall carry out the following:

19 “(A) Update the voluntary risk manage-
20 ment framework for trustworthy artificial intel-
21 ligence systems, or successor framework, au-
22 thorized under subsection (c) as the Director
23 determines appropriate.

24 “(B) Ensure that voluntary guidance de-
25 veloped in paragraph (1) is based on inter-

1 national standards and industry best practices
2 to the extent possible and practical.

3 “(C) Not prescribe or otherwise require the
4 use of specific information or communications
5 technology products or services.

6 “(D) Collaborate with public, industry, and
7 academic entities as the Director determines
8 appropriate, including conducting periodic out-
9 reach to receive public input from public, indus-
10 try, and academic stakeholders.

11 “(3) REPORT.—In conducting the Director’s
12 duties under paragraph (1), the Director shall, not
13 later than 18 months after the date of the enact-
14 ment of this subsection, brief the Committee on
15 Science, Space, and Technology of the House of
16 Representatives and the Committee on Commerce,
17 Science, and Transportation of the Senate on the
18 following:

19 “(A) New or updated materials, programs,
20 or systems that were produced as a result of
21 carrying out this subsection.

22 “(B) Policy recommendations of the Direc-
23 tor that could facilitate and improve commu-
24 nication and coordination between the private
25 sector and relevant Federal agencies regarding

1 implementing the recommended practices iden-
2 tified in this subsection.

3 “(4) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

4 “(A) ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE RED
5 TEAMING.—The term ‘artificial intelligence red
6 teaming’ means a structured testing of adver-
7 sarial efforts to find flaws and vulnerabilities in
8 an artificial intelligence system and identify
9 risks, flaws, and vulnerabilities of artificial in-
10 telligence systems, such as harmful outputs
11 from such system, unforeseen or undesirable
12 system behaviors, limitations, and potential
13 risks associated with the misuse of such system.

14 “(B) ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYS-
15 TEM.—The term ‘artificial intelligence system’
16 has the meaning given such term in section
17 7223 of the Advancing American AI Act (40
18 U.S.C. 11301 note; as enacted as part of title
19 LXXII of division G of the James M. Inhofe
20 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
21 Year 2023; Public Law 117–263).”.